PEGGICHISME KIRKALDY, 1904 (HEMIPTERA HETEROP-TERA: LYGAEIDAE): PROPOSAL TO DESIGNATE A TYPE SPECIES BY THE USE OF THE PLENARY POWERS. Z.N.(S.) 2197

By Merrill H. Sweet (Departments of Biology and Entomology, Texas A & M University, College Station, Texas 77843)

Peggichisme was proposed by Kirkaldy, 1904 (Entomologist vol. 37: 280) as a new replacement name for Davila Distant, 1893 (Biol. cent.-Amer. Heteroptera vol. 1, Suppl.: 394), which was preoccupied by Davila Gray, 1853, for a genus of bivalved molluscs. In the original publication Distant described three new species in Davila, D. consanguineus, D. concavus and D. pallescens (it is not clear why he gave the first two names masculine terminations), and these were the only originally included species. As was the practice at the time, neither Distant nor Kirkaldy designated a type species for the genus in question. The choice of type species becomes important because of the question of the synonymy of Peggichisme Kirkaldy, 1904, with Ozophora Uhler, 1871 (Proc. Boston Soc. nat. Hist.: 102). It is the thesis of the present application that the designations of Davila concavus Distant, 1893 (op. cit.: 395-396) as type species of Peggichisme by Van Duzee, 1916, (List Hemiptera N. Amer.: 22) and Sweet, 1967 (Ann. entomol. Soc. Amer. Vol. 6: 223) were both in error since the designations in each case were based on specimens of Davila consanguineus Distant, 1893 (op. cit.: 395), misidentified as Ozophora concava (Distant, 1893).

2. Both Slater (1964, Cat. Lygaeidae World: 1048) and Van Duzee, 1917 (Cat. Hemiptera N. Amer.: 188) attributed the synonymising of Davila Distant with Ozophora Uhler to Barber (1918, J. New York entomol. Soc. vol. 26: 53), though Barber had merely noted that Uhler, 1894, had placed Davila as a synonym of Ozophora. Actually Uhler, 1894 (Proc. zool. Soc. London for 1894: 186) only said that Davila consanguineus Distant was congeneric, if not conspecific, with Ozophora burmeisteri (Guérin), which is a specific, not a generic synonymy. Therefore the formal synonymising of Peggichisme Kirkaldy, 1904 with Ozophora Uhler, 1871, should be attributed to Van Duzee, 1916.

3. Van Duzee, 1916 (List Hemiptera N. Amer.: 22) also synonymised Peggichisme consanguineus (Distant) with Ozophora picturata Uhler, 1871 (the type species, by monotypy, of Ozophora), an action clearly resulting from specimens of O. picturata and other closely related species being misidentified as Ozophora consanguinea by Uhler (Blatchley, 1926) and Van Duzee himself, as evidenced by determination labels in several collections. Sweet, 1967, raised consanguineus Distant from synonymy with O. picturata, placed it together with concavus Distant in Peggichisme Kirkaldy, 1904, and left pallescens Distant, 1893, in Ozophora Uhler, 1871.

4. It should be understood that the species of the OZO-PHORINI of the New World are very similar in superficial coloration and appearance, and would be especially difficult to recognise from the illustrations in the *Biologia centrali-Americana*. I have been able to study the type series of Distant's species of *Davila* in the light of Scudder's 1967 lectotype designations and find, as Scudder noted (1967, *Bull. brit. Mus. (nat. Hist.)* Entomol., vol. 20 (6): 267) that the syntypes of *concava* include representatives of four species. This makes the confusion about the identity of *concava* understandable. Most of the specimens in museums that had been identified as O. *concava* (Distant) by Barber and previous authors, including Van Duzee, were actually specimens of O. *consanguinea* (Distant), as were the specimens I examined when I elevated Peggichisme.

5. This is, therefore, clearly a case of a misidentified type species. Specimens of *D. consanguineus* Distant were not present in the syntype series of *D. concavus* Distant. Scudder, 1967, also recognised the specific distinctness of *D. consanguineus*. It is therefore my considered judgement, based on specimens of or near *O. picturata* Uhler being misidentified as *Davila consanguineus* Distant by Uhler, Van Duzee and Barber, and specimens of *Peggichisme consanguinea* (Distant) being similarly misidentified as *O. concava* (Distant), that when Van Duzee selected *Davila concavus* Distant, 1893, as the type species of *Peggichisme*, he actually had in mind or before him specimens of *D. consanguineus* Distant, 1893, unfortunately misidentified as *D. concavus*. Furthermore, Van Duzee probably would not in any case have selected *D. consanguineus* as type species because he erroneously considered that quite distinct species as synonymous with *Ozophora picturata* Uhler.

6. Accepting *Peggichisme* [= *Davila*] consanguinea (Distant, 1893) as the correct name for the specimens misidentified as *Ozophora concava* (Distant, 1893) would clear the way for a change in the type species designation that would conserve the name *Peggichisme* and avoid the need to propose a new generic name. *Peggichisme* Kirkaldy would then become the generic name for the neotropical species assemblage distinguished from *Ozophora* Uhler by its sharp, narrowly explanate lateral pronotal margins, notched humeral pronotal angles, and broad, relatively declivant head with large eyes. Also by such action, *Ozophora concava* (Distant, 1893), as a close relative of *O. picturata* Uhler, 1871, would simply remain in the genus *Ozophora* Uhler, 1891.

7. I accordingly ask the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature:

- (1) to use its plenary powers to set aside all designations of type species hitherto made for the nominal genus *Peggichisme* Kirkaldy, 1904, and, having done so, to designate *Davila consanguineus* [sic] Distant, 1893, as type species of that genus;
- (2) to place the generic name Peggichisme Kirkaldy, 1904 (gender: feminine), type species, by designation under the plenary powers in (1) above, Davila consanguineus [sic] Distant, 1893, on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology;
- (3) to place the specific name consanguineus Distant, 1893, as published in the binomen Davila consanguineus [sic] (specific name of type species of Peggichisme Kirkaldy, 1904, on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology.

REFERENCES

- BARBER, H.G. 1918. Concerning Lygaeidae No. 2 J. New York entomol. Soc., vol. 26 (2): 49–66
- BLATCHLEY, W.S. 1926. Heteroptera or true bugs of eastern North America, with special reference to the faunas of Indiana and Florida, 1116 pp. Nature Publ. Co., Indianapolis
- DISTANT, W.L. 1893. Insecta. Rhynchota. Hemiptera-Heteroptera, vol. 1. Biol. centr.-amer. Suppl.: 378-462. London
- KIRKALDY, G.W. 1904. Bibliographical and nomenclatural notes on the Hemiptera, No. 3. Entomologist vol. 37: 379-383
- SCUDDER, G.G.E. 1967. Rhyparochrominae types in the British Museum (Natural History) (Hemiptera: Lygaeidae). Bull. br. Mus. (nat. Hist.) (Entomol.) vol. 20 (6): 251-285
- SLATER, J.A. 1964. Catalogue of the Lygaeidae of the World, 1668 pp. Univ. Connecticut, Storrs
- SWEET, M.H. 1967. The tribal classification of the Rhyparochrominae. Ann. entomol. Soc. Amer. vol. 60 (1): 208-226
- UHLER, P.R. 1871. Notices on some Heteroptera in the collection of Dr. T.W. Harris. Proc. Boston Soc. nat. Hist. vol. 14: 93-109
- VAN DUZEE, E.P. 1916. Checklist of the Hemiptera (excepting the Aphididae, Aleurodidae and Coccidae) of America north of Mexico. 113 pp. New York entomol. Soc. New York
 - . 1917. Catalogue of the Hemiptera of America north of Mexico. 902 pp. Univ. California Press, Berkeley